Tunica Vaginalis Thickening, Hemorrhagic Infiltration and Inflammatory Changes in 8 Children with Primary Hydrocele; Reactive Mesothelial Hyperplasia? A Prospective Clinical Study
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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study is to describe an entity of primary hydrocele accompanied with fibrosis, thickening and hemorrhagic infiltration of parietal layer of tunica vaginalis (PLTV).

During a 4-year period (2011–2014), 94 boys (2.5–14 years old) underwent primary hydrocele repair. Hydrocele was right sided in 55 (58.5 %), left sided in 26 (28.7%) and bilateral in 12 patients (13.8%). Eighty three out of 94 patients (88.30%) had communicating hydrocele and the rest eleven patients (11.7%) had non-communicating. Our case group consists of 8 patients (8.51%) based on operative findings consistent with PLTV induration, thickening and hemorrhagic infiltration. Preoperative ultrasonography did not reveal any pathology of the intrascrotal structures besides hydrocele. There weren’t hyperechoic reflections or septa within the fluid. Evaluation of thickness of the PLTV was not feasible. Presence of lymph or exudate was excluded after fluid biochemical analysis. Tunica vaginalis histological examination confirmed thickening, hemorrhagic infiltration and inflammation, while there was absence of mesothelial cells. Immunohistochemistry for desmin was positive, excluding malignant mesothelioma.

One patient underwent high ligation of the patent processus vaginalis and PLTV sheath fenestration, but one year later, he faced a recurrence. An elective second surgery was conducted via scrotal incision and Jaboulay operation was performed. The latter methodology was our treatment choice in other 7 out of 8 patients. During a 2-year postoperative follow-up, no other patient had any recurrence.

We conclude that in primary hydrocele with macroscopic features indicative of tunica vaginalis inflammation, reversion of the tunica should be a part of operative strategy instead of sheath fenestration, in order to minimize the recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrocele in childhood is divided into primary and secondary, based upon pathophysiology. Primary hydrocele is further divided into neonatal or congenital, communicating and closed or adult type or non-communicating (1).

Secondary hydrocele can develop due to inflammatory disorders (epididymitis, epididymo-orchitis), torsion of the testicle or embryonic tissue, inguinal hernia repair or varicocelectomy, hypoproteinemia in a systemic disease, traumatic disorders or tumours of intrascrotal structures. In the developing countries, parasitosis (lymphatic filariasis, Wuchereria bancrofti, scrotal schistosomiasis, etc.) usually causes secondary hydrocele (2).

We treated 8 patients with primary hydrocele in which fibrosis, thickening and hemorrhagic infiltration of parietal tunica vaginalis of the testicle were present. Thus, through this prospective clinical study, we aim at presenting the characteristic features of this "unknown'' entity affecting tunica vaginalis, in order to facilitate the early recognition and the appropriate therapeutic approach of those patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Over a 4-year period (2011–2014), 94 boys underwent hydrocele repair, due to primary hydrocele. The patients’ ages ranged from 2.5 years to 14 years (mean 3.6 years). Primary hydrocele was right sided in 55 patients (58.5 %), left sided in 26 patients (28.7%) and bilateral in 12 patients (13.8%). Two incidents of secondary hydrocele were excluded.

Diagnosis of hydrocele is clinical and can be confirmed by ultrasonography. It is essential to clarify the onset of the scrotal swelling, while taking medical history from the parents, in order to confirm any fluctuation in size, which is an indication of primary (communicating) hydrocele.

During physical examination, we assessed the position, the turgidity and the size of the testicles, the silk-glove (or string) sign, the presence of tenderness or pain during palpation and the presence of palpable nodules on the scrotal wall or intrascrotal structures. Transillumination is also an additional method of clinical examination that should be performed if sonography is not available.

Performance of scrotal ultrasonography (US) facilitates the detection of position, size and structure of testicles and epididymis, the determination of echotexture of fluid and the evaluation of the presence of septa within the tunica vaginalis cavity and the diameter of processus vaginalis at the level of the internal inguinal ring.

After the performance of the typical preoperative tests, all the patients underwent elective surgery under general endotracheal anesthesia. Eighty three patients (88.3%) had communicating hydrocele, while 11 (11.70%) had non-communicating. After dissection of the anterior surface of tunica vaginalis, induration, thickening and hemorrhagic infiltration of it were the main macroscopic features in 8 patients. These intraoperative findings were in fact the determinants of our study subgroup.

RESULTS

Clinical and imaging findings of the 8 patients are presented in the tables 1 and 2 respectively. Classification of the patients was made based upon their age, in an ascending order (tables 1–3).

Ultrasonographic examination did not reveal any pre-existing or concomitant pathology of the intrascrotal structures, while there were no hyperechoic reflections or septa within the hydrocele fluid. Evaluation of the thickness of the parietal layer of tunica vaginalis was not feasible.

Tab. 1 Clinical findings in the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/n</th>
<th>Onset of the scrotal swelling</th>
<th>Fluctuation in size detected from parents</th>
<th>Silk sign glove</th>
<th>Transillumination</th>
<th>Co-morbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>From birth</td>
<td>Fluctuating</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>From birth</td>
<td>Fluctuating</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>From birth</td>
<td>Fluctuating</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>From birth</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>From birth</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>From 7 years</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>From 11 years</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>From 9 years</td>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Diameter of PV (2.6 mm) at the level of the internal inguinal ring in the 4th patient of our study group (black arrow).
Tab. 2 Range of processus vaginalis (PV) at the level of the internal inguinal ring in the study group at ultrasonographic evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/n</th>
<th>Diameter of processus vaginalis at the level of the internal inguinal ring (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first patient detected (5th patient in tables 1, 2, 3) underwent high ligation of the processus vaginalis with ‘window’ creation (sheath fenestration technique). Once the hydrocele was repaired, recurrence occurred within the following months and a second surgery was performed via scrotal incision and PLTV was reversed (Jaboulay technique) (Figure 2).

The rest 7 patients were treated with reversion and without excision of tunica vaginalis (Jaboulay technique, table 3, figures 3–4).

We also took tissue biopsy from the tunica vaginalis for histopathological evaluation and we collected fluid for biochemical and cytopathological examination. Presence of lymph or exudate was excluded by biochemical analysis.

Fig. 2 After opening of the anterior surface of tunica vaginalis, via scrotal incision (5th patient of tables 1, 2, 3), thickening and hemorrhagic infiltration of the parietal layer of tunica vaginalis were found (second operation).

Fig. 3 Notice the punctuated and scattered longitudinal hemorrhagic infiltration as well as thickening of the parietal layer of tunica vaginalis (4th patient).

Fig. 4 Sixth patient of our study group. PLTV hemorrhagic infiltration.
Cytopathological examination excluded the presence of tumor cells or leukocytes. Histopathological examination of the tissue biopsies of the tunica vaginalis confirmed the presence of thickening – accompanied by intense fibroblastic activity –, absence of mesothelial cells from the inner side of the tunica vaginalis, hemorrhagic infiltration and inflammatory cells (figures 5, 6). Then immunohistochemical examination for desmin was found to be positive.

During a 2-year post-operative follow-up, on a 3-month basis, none of our patients had any recurrence. Ultrasoundography was performed in all patients one year after the surgery. No abnormalities in the testicular parenchyma or size were found.

**DISCUSSION**

Pediatric surgeon should emphasize on the subject etiology when treating a boy with secondary hydrocele (3). In this prospective clinical study, cases of secondary hydrocele were excluded. During preoperative approach of a pediatric patient with hydrocele, the surgeon might come across various unusual findings, which may require a more thorough evaluation of the appropriate surgical procedure.

Prior to elective surgery, not only does clinical examination play an important role (thorough medical history and physical examination), but imaging modalities as well (scrotal and inguinal ultrasonography), which can add essential information regarding secondary hydrocele. When talking about secondary hydrocele, the clinician should mainly focus on: parasitic infections (filariasis, shistosomiasis), tuberculosis, syphilis, brucellosis, parotitis, autoimmune diseases (Ehlers Danlos Syndrome), nephrotic syndrome, chronic kidney disease, previous scrotal trauma, inflammatory intrascrotal structures, previous surgery, serositis due to systemic inflammatory response, testicular or paratesticular mass, and hydrocephalus in the presence of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt (4, 5).

**Tab. 3** Analysis of 8 cases of primary hydrocele with hemorrhagic infiltration of parietal tunica vaginalis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/n</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>high ligation of the processus vaginalis and reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>high ligation of the processus vaginalis and reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>high ligation of the processus vaginalis and reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>high ligation of the processus vaginalis and reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>high ligation of the processus vaginalis and sheath fenestration technique</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>reversion of the PLTV via scrotal incision (Jaboulay technique)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>reversion of the tunica vaginalis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
None of our patients faced significant changes in size, which is indicative of malignancy, such as malignant mesothelioma of the tunica vaginalis or paratesticular rhadomyosarcoma (5, 6).

Hydrocele repair should always be made on an elective basis and not urgently, in order the complete diagnostic approach to be made and the cases of secondary hydrocele to be excluded.

During physical examination, we assessed the position, the turgidity and the size of the testicles, the silk-glove (or string) sign, the presence of tenderness or pain during palpation and the presence of palpable nodules on the scrotal wall or intrascrotal structures. Transillumination was also conducted as an additional diagnostic method. As inguinoscrotal hernia along with the presence of intestinal loop cannot be excluded, positive transillumination should always be interpreted along with the rest objective clinical findings (3). In contrast, as found in 5 patients of our study group, negative transillumination could be evaluated as related with the thickening of the visceral tunica vaginalis intraoperatively (?).

Ultrasoundographic depiction of the thickening of the tunica vaginalis was not feasible in any of our patients. Contrast Enhanced Sonography (CEUS) after bolus intravenous injection of contrast media, which is the most appropriate imaging modality, was not available in our Department (8). Depiction of tunica vaginalis thickening via high-resolution ultrasonography could contribute to preoperative diagnosis. Further appropriate clinical studies are required, in order to investigate and establish its use in clinical practice.

No nodules -solid or mottled and calcified- were seen within the thickened visceral tunica vaginalis, a finding indicative of a reactive fibro-inflammatory reaction. Ultrasonography did not reveal the presence of a single nodule or multinodular thickening of the tunica vagina-

lis, was an unexpected complication and may be associated with the abnormal function of mesothelial lining. The latter may result either from fluid overproduction or failure of the mesothelial lining to reabsorb the fluid or both. Ozdilek et al. and Rinker et al. consider a non-comminuting hydrocele as idiopathic, without associating the subject pathophysiological process with intraoperative findings such as the inflammatory changes of the parietal layer of tunica vaginalis (19, 20).

Rinker et al. and Allen suggest that a defective mechanism of lymphatic drainage develops in pediatric patients with hydrocele, finally affecting the drainage of the collected transudate (20). In our study group, collected fluid did not contain lymph in any of our patients.

Ku et al. consider as inappropriate the creation of a “window” in the parietal layer of tunica vaginalis, as adhesions develop gradually leading finally to “window’s” closure. In those cases, recurrence rate of hydrocele reaches up to 85% (21).

Under this consideration, a more radical surgical intervention such as a partial excision and partial reversion of the tunica vaginalis was implemented. In our study group, reversion without excision of the tunica vaginalis was carried out in 7 patients (Jaboulay operation).

Diagnosis of hydrocele was based upon physical examination and imaging studies in the preoperative period, while biochemical and cytologic examination of hydrocele’s fluid and histopathological examination of tissue biopsy taken from the tunica vaginalis completed postoperatively the diagnostic approach. After thorough evaluation of the above, no concomitant pathology was identified in any of our patients.

After evaluating the intraoperative macroscopic features and the histopathologic characteristics and excluding the presence of any concomitance, we made the possible diagnosis of tunica vaginalis mesothelioma hyperplasia or reactive mesothelial hyperplasia (22–24). Reactive mesothelial hyperplasia is the most prominent diagnosis. Presence of primary hydrocele, absence of invasive character, absence of participation of the rest scrotal layers in the pathology, absence of lymphocytic infiltration and positive for desmin immunohistochemical reaction constitute the above diagnosis (22, 24–27).

There was no indication for further immunohistochemical staining (EMA, p53, GLUT-1, IMP-3) in order to exclude the presence of malignant mesothelioma of the tunica vaginalis. Immunohistochemical staining positive for EMA, p53, GLUT-1, IMP-3 and negative for desmin is indicative of malignant mesothelioma arising from the tunica vaginalis of the testis (28, 29). In cases when differential diagnosis is still ambiguous, DNA ploidy can distinguish some borderline lesions (30).

The differential diagnosis between reactive mesothelial hyperplasia and mesothelioma should always be carefully made. Despite the extreme rarity of mesothelioma during childhood, de Lima et al. report a case of a 15-year-old boy with secondary hydrocele and malignant mesothelioma as the etiologic substrate (31).

However, according to the study of Tolhurst et al., no malignancy can be ruled out by the absence of cellular atypia. The authors present a case of concomitance of uni-
lateral atypical reactive mesothelial hyperplasia and well differentiated mesothelioma contralaterally (32). They therefore consider the presence of invasive behavior as significant parameter, strongly indicative of malignancy. Based upon the histologic examination of the tissue biopsies taken from the parietal layer of the tunica vaginalis, there was no indication of true invasion or prominent infiltration in our study group.

CONCLUSIONS

1. When inflammatory changes of tunica vaginalis are found as an intraoperative finding in the context of primary hydrocele surgical repair, it is critical to evaluate whether they are secondary or not. Malignant mesothelioma must always be excluded, based upon cytological examination of the fluid and immunohistochemical examination of the tissue biopsy for desmin at initial approach.

2. In communicating hydrocele cases with macroscopic features indicative of inflammation of PTLV, high ligation of the PPV and tunica vaginalis sheath fenestration are accompanied with recurrence. Thus the “classic” operative technique is considered as insufficient. On the contrary, high ligation of PPV along with Jaboulay technique minimizes the recurrence rate.

3. In cases of noncommunicating or adult type hydrocele, scrotal approach and reversion of PLTV lead to the minimization of the recurrence rate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, FUNDING AND DISCLOSURES

All the authors contributed equally to the writing of this short review article. None of the contributing authors have any conflict of interest, including specific financial interests or relationships and affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript.

REFERENCES