THERAPEUTIC ERYTHROCYTAPHERESIS IN THE INITIAL TREATMENT OF HEREDITARY HEMOCHROMATOSIS
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Summary: Background: The current treatment of hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) consists of performing periodic whole blood phlebotomies. Erythrocytapheresis (EA) can remove up to three times more red blood cells per single procedure and could thus have a clinical benefit. A prospective study of 30 consecutive cases of HH were included in a periodic EA program. Methods and patients: EA were performed using a discontinuous flow cell separators. The protocol consisted of a bimonthly EA until normalization of the serum ferritin was reached. The aim was to reduce the total erythrocyte volume by 25–35%, eventually, to adjust the amount so that hematocrit would not drop below 0.25. Results: 530 ± 101 ml of erythrocytes were removed (median 517, range 116–761 ml). Iron depletion (ferritin < 20 μg/l) was achieved in all patients after a mean 6.9 ± 7.6 months, median 5 months, range 1–36 months and a mean 14 EA sessions. The procedures were well tolerated and there were no severe side-effects. Conclusions: We conclude that HH patients treated with EA achieved iron depletion quickly under good conditions of tolerance. The efficacy, speed, tolerability, and more favorable schedule of an EA program facilitate treatment of HH.
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Introduction

Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) remains the most common, identified genetic disorder in Caucasians. Although its geographic distribution is worldwide, it is seen most commonly in populations of northern European origin, particularly, Nordic or Celtic ancestry in which it occurs with a prevalence of approximately 1 per 220–250 individuals (2). HH is characterized by an increase in iron absorption from the gastrointestinal tract, leading to the accumulation of iron in many organs and tissues (9, 10, 17). Because withdrawal of blood is the most effective way to reduce iron storage in the body, the preferred treatment for iron overload associated with hemochromatosis is therapeutic phlebotomy (1, 4, 5, 26). This causes iron mobilization for incorporation into hemoglobin (Hb) during erythropoiesis (18). Once a serum ferritin level of less than 50 μg/l and/or a transferrin saturation below 50 percent is reached, blood lettings can be reduced from three to six times a year (13). Erythrocytapheresis (EA) may be a good alternative for therapeutic phlebotomy (21–23). By means of EA at least 400 ml of red blood cells (RBC) can be collected at once (but twice as this amount may also be removed) which reduces the number of treatments. Only the RBCs are collected, and the plasma including all proteins, coagulation factors, white blood cells, thrombocytes, and replacement fluid are returned to the patient (13). Apparently, the therapy with EA in clinically developed HH has its priorities, in practice its main problem is the cost. Although 20 years have passed since the first trials of EA utilization in HH therapy, the situation still remains unresolved. Several studies have been published but especially economic calculations among the countries are different. There are no unified procedural standards for EA because of various details in individual workplaces. In this study we inform of the results with EA in HH therapy using our scheme and our modification under our conditions.

Patients and methods

a) Patient characteristics

In the recent 5 years 24 patients suffering from increased ferritin and suspected HH have been referred to our department from other clinics of the University Hospital. (The University Hospital provides care for about 1.5 million inhabitants of the Czech Republic.) In 20 of these patients a mutation of the hemochromatosis gene had been detected – it was C282 in all of them in homozygous form. In 20 of these patients a mutation of the hemochromatosis gene had been detected – it was C282 in all of them in homozygous form. We searched for this mutation in their close relatives: 6 persons were examined and 5 of them had C282Y mutation (homozygous for 3 patients). Two patients had increased ferritin levels and increased transferrin saturation and were assigned for EA therapy. Thus, a group of 22 persons homozygous for C282Y mutation was formed, for basic data see Table 1.
The mean initial level of ferritin in the patients was $1358.1 \pm 921.4 \mu g/l$, median 1105.0 $\mu g/l$ (Graph 1). Initial values of iron, binding capacity and transferrin saturation are in Table 2.

**Graph 1:** Initial ferritin values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>HFE-gene mutation</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Total blood volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>6005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>5632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>♀</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>C282Y/C282Y</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Individualized treatment strategy

- Erythrocytaphereses were performed on the separator Haemonetics MCS+ (Haemonetics Corp., Braintree MA, USA), software version EN-990923 (further only MCS+) with disposable closed collection set TAE 944
- Total blood volume (TBV) was determined based on sex, height and weight of each patient using the MCS+ calculator
- Total erythrocyte volume (TEV) calculated according to the formula:
  \[ \text{Volume of erythrocytes (ml)} = \text{TBV} \times \text{hematocrit} \]
- The amount of removed erythrocytes was determined in the range between 25–35% of the total erythrocyte volume (TEV), eventually, we had to adjust the removed amount so that the post-collection hematocrite (HT) would not drop below 0.25
- We assessed compensation mechanisms of each patient based on clinical examinations (patient history, condition of cardiovascular and pulmonary systems and other somatic findings, laboratory results) and, if necessary, corrected the volume of removed erythrocytes
- Before further procedures we tried to find out about individual collection tolerance and searched for late post-collection effects. When they appeared, the volume was corrected in subsequent treatment sessions
- After reaching the ferritin target value below 25–50 µg/l, induction phase was discontinued and the patient was assigned to the maintenance phase

- Iron level – colorimetric method on Cobas Modular analyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), normal values (NV): 5.83–34.5 µmol/l
- Transferrin binding capacity for iron – spectrophotometric method on Dohas Modular (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), NV of unsaturated iron binding capacity (UIBC): 24.2–70.1 µmol/l (women), 22.3–61.7 µmol/l (men)
- Total iron binding capacity (TIBC) was determined as a sum of iron level and UIBC. NV: 40.8–76.6 µmol/l (men and women)
- Transferrin saturation was calculated as a proportion of iron and TIBC. NV: 0.20–0.55
- Ferritin level was determined by an enzyme microanalysis on microparticles MEiA with Abbott AxSYM apparatus (Abbott Laboratories Diagnostics Division, Abbott Park, IL, USA) at the Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital, Hradec Králové. NV: 14–150 µg/l (women) and 17–230 µg/l (men)
- HFE-gene mutation was examined by genotypic analysis of restricted fragments

Results

A total of 311 therapeutic erythrocytapheresis sessions were performed (231 in men, 80 in women), mean 14, median 7.5, range 2–70. Per single erythrocytapheresis 530 ± 101 ml of erythrocytes were removed (median 517, range 116–761 ml). The mean volume of withdrawn erythrocytes was 548 ± 105 ml in men and 481 ± 71 ml in women, i.e. TEV removed in one session was 24.1 ± 3.5% in men (median 22.8%, range 19.9–30.9) and 31.0 ± 5.4% in women (median 31.0%, range 23.8–37.4). The therapy lasted 6.9 ± 7.6 months, median 5 months, range 1–36 months.

The aim of therapy was fulfilled in 19 of 22 patients – the reached ferritin level was below 50 µg/l. At the end of therapy the mean ferritin level was 19.4 ± 11.1 µg/l (median 14.7, range 6–44.3 µg/l. In 14 patients the ultimate ferritin level was lower than 25 µg/l in 10 patients ferritin dropped below 15 µg/l after the last collection (Table 3). The drop of ferritin well correlated with the volume of removed...
erythrocytes \( (r = 0.70) \). In 3 patients the treatment could not be finished – twice the therapy was discontinued due to health reasons, once due to the change of the patient’s address. Transferrin saturation decreased from 0.74 ± 0.22 of original value to 0.19 ± 0.06 after the treatment was finished.

### Tab. 3: Ferritin level, binding capacity, transferrin and ferritin saturation at the end of therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AV ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Normal value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron (μmol/L)</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.0–24.6</td>
<td>5.83–34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding capacity (μmol/L)</td>
<td>62.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>48.7–70.9</td>
<td>40.8–76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin saturation</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10–0.26</td>
<td>0.20–0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (μmol/L)</td>
<td>19.4 ± 11.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>6.0–44.3</td>
<td>14–150 women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17–230 men</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: AV = mean; SD = standard deviation

During 311 collections 33 complications were recorded (10.6%) of which 32 were not serious (two vein ruptures, three vasovagal reactions and 27 were signs of light citrate toxicity. Once the session had to be interrupted due to technical reasons (separator trouble). Except administration of a calcium effervescent tablet no drug therapy was necessary for post-collection reactions. The therapy had never to be interrupted due to medical reasons.

### Discussion

HH is a hereditary disease, its occurrence is more frequent than was previously thought (24). This is necessary to keep in mind because HH symptoms are not pathognomonic. But when HH is kept in mind, laboratory diagnosis is not difficult. After diagnosis is confirmed, quick and effective therapy should follow because its delay influences prognosis (2, 18). In HH the performance of periodic phlebotomies has been shown to be effective in obtaining iron depletion and, with it, an improvement in these patients’ symptoms and prognosis (4). Phlebotomy as one of the few therapeutic methods has been used for centuries (but in other indications). It is simple and cheap. That is why phlebotomy is the preferred method all the time (6, 9). In recent years several studies have emerged dealing with erythrocytapheresis utilization, and mostly the method was evaluated as clinically very effective (16, 20–23). The authors confirm that a short interval is needed from the therapy initiation to reach target values (ferritin < 50µg/l) – less than a year – whereas with phlebotomy the time of therapy is much longer. In patients with severe iron overload, more than 2 years may be required, leading to anemic fatigue and lack of compliance (20). In our study EA therapy (1 session in 10–14 days) lasted 6.9 ± 7.6 months on the average. The mean ferritin value at the end of therapy was 19.4 ± 11.1 µg/l and enabled the pass into EA maintenance therapy – 1 session in 2–6 months.

We mentioned above that phlebotomy is still considered the first line in the HH therapy. Lower technical, medical, and economic demands of phlebotomy would still have a decisive role in the near future. Despite this, phlebotomy may also carry a number of complications. In 1997 Moirand et al. described complications in 64% of 353 patients treated with phlebotomy. Complications included problems with venous access in 43% of the patients, fatigue in 63% of patients, and 28% of patients complained about inconvenience of the therapy, 8% of patients considered regular phlebotomy as an unpleasant intervention into their personal life, and 6% of patients a serious intervention into their professional life (15). Our experience is similar to the experience of Rombout-Sestrienkova that after a longer time more than one half of the patients found regular phlebotomy troublesome and discomforting. Nearly two thirds of the patients did not agree with weekly phlebotomy and required reduction of their frequency (22). Two deaths after phlebotomy as a most serious complication were described (8, 11).

Not without importance is the fact that collection needle for erythrocytapheresis is of 1–2 gauges thinner than for phlebotomy. A greater diameter increases the risk of hematomas and damage to artery or nerve fibres (7, 19). At phlebotomy, except erythrocytes, also plasma containing thrombocytes, coagulation factors and proteins is removed which may be harmful for patients with hypoproteinemia or thrombocytopenia (21, 22). Hypoproteinemia may unfavorably influence patients with HH and liver cirrhosis (14). At erythrocytapheresis erythrocytes are removed and all other components are returned to the patient’s circulation. The loss of plasma at phlebotomy may be clinically very important. The recommended frequency of phlebotomy is 1–2 times a week. Provided that the patient’s hematocrite is 0.35–0.45 than 275–325 ml of plasma is removed. If the phlebotomy is accomplished weekly then the loss of plasma could be up 17.2 liters; if
the phlebotomy is more frequent (2 a week) and hematocrit 0.35 than the loss of plasma can reach 32.4 liters. This volume of plasma is even higher than maximum plasma volume that may be removed from a healthy person in an intensive donor plasmapheresis program – i.e. 25 l/year (Guide to the preparation, use and quality assurance of blood components, 15th edition. Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg, 2010). As donor studies have shown, plasma collections near to 25 l/year lead to a marked drop of total protein and immunoglobulins in healthy persons, and more than one third of donors (40%) have to quit due to hypoproteinemia and hypogammaglobulinemia (25). The loss of plasma by erythrocytapheresis counts for 10% of the removed erythrocyte volume – in our group a mean 55 ml of plasma were removed from men and 48 ml from women.

To date the literature offers only limited reports about HH therapy with EA. They are mostly smaller non-randomized studies. A prospective randomized study would be ideal for comparing efficacy of EA vs. phlebotomy. In the U.S. Register NIH (National Institution of Health) 3 studies have been reported – from Norway, Italy, and the Netherlands. However, to date not even preliminary results of the first two studies have been published. The only prospective randomized study published is the study of Rambout-Sestrienkova et al. from the year 2012 (21). Even economic evaluation included in the study showed unambiguous priority of EA in the therapy cost. In our study randomization of patients for phlebotomy was not possible. Instead, we performed a research probe in 3 patients (homozygous for C282Y) treated by phlebotomy in weekly intervals and compared the results with EA therapy. Hematocrit of these 3 patients fluctuated in the range 0.33–0.40. 500 ml withdrawn in one phlebotomy session contained 165–200 ml of erythrocytes. Our conclusion is the same as the data presented till now that by erythrocytaphereses the mean removed RBC volume was three times higher. The relation of ferritin drop to erythrocyte volume was compared with data of patients treated by EA (Graph 2). The rate of drop in phlebotomy is significantly lower which is fully in agreement with the published data (16, 20–23).

The therapy intensity (EA at a 10–14 day interval) showed as sufficient, target values were reached in 6 months on the average and its compliance was 100%. The treatment was discontinued only in 3 patients but not due to the bad compliance: 1× change of place of residence, 2× from healthy reasons (1 death of the patient, 1 patient with liver cirrhosis and long-term hospitalization). EA had only minimum side-effects, none were serious.

To date replacement of removed erythrocytes is a non-unified and unresolved problem. Replacement is not necessary when 500 ml of blood is removed. In our study we used saline in the ratio 1.5 : 1 to erythrocyte volume. In patients with HH complications (such as liver cirrhosis) replacement fluid consisted of albumin (in 5% solution) + saline as is commonly used at higher plasma collections – in exchange plasmaphereses (12). A clinically interesting problem remains to find another simpler and more reliable markers for both diagnosis and therapy of HH. From this aspect the mean cell volume (MCV) seems to be interesting. Several authors assumed
that mean cell volume (MCV) together with hemoglobin level is a simple and reliable marker important for treatment and follow-up of HH by phlebotomy (3). In our study MCV in patients did not significantly depend on erytrocyte volume that was removed. Statistically significant decrease of MCV was noted only in patients where ferritin level dropped below 15 μg/L. Nevertheless, at the same time the drop of hemoglobin and hematocrit caused by iatrogenous sideropenia was also noted.

From the practical point of view economy is probably the most important and interesting issue. The literature data are scarce and differ in the cost of expended work and material among individual countries. Mostly, EA is considered a significantly more expensive. However, there are activities such as indirect expenses and loss of the patient’s productivity that are counted to the cost, too. Then the situation is different. There is only one study presenting the details (21). These authors performed a cost price calculation. This approach is also known as microcosting which has the advantage of allowing others to see how well the analysis matches their own situation where patterns of care may differ. Hence, it becomes possible to compare volumes of use between different countries or settings and to examine whether a large cost difference between EA and phlebotomy is in fact related to real differences in resource use. This study documents that after the total calculation the difference between expenses on EA and phlebotomy are unimportant. In our study we have calculated only the cost of material which, in our country, is for EA unfavorable (about ten times more).

Conclusion

It is true that EA is the method requiring a well trained team and matching equipment but then is clinically very effective with only a low percentage of side effects that are not dangerous for the patient. The details are presented above.
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