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**SCROTAL MASS: A RARE COMPLICATION OF VENTRICULOPERITONEAL SHUNT**
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**Summary:** Ventriculoperitoneal shunts are associated with multiple complications. Among them are disconnection and migration of the tubing into the peritoneal cavity. Here we describe a case of a fractured ventriculoperitoneal shunt which migrated and coiled in the scrotum, masquerading as a scrotal swelling. Removal of the shunt via a scrotal incision was performed concomitantly with repair of the hernia sac.
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**Introduction**

Ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt is a common procedure in managing hydrocephalus. Complications associated with VP shunt are numerous and can be disastrous. Among the complications that can occur are migration of the catheter into the abdominal wall, gastrointestinal tract, bladder, vagina, scrotum, mediastinum and infections like peritonitis, ventriculitis, and meningitis (24–47%) (5, 7). One of the rare complications associated with ventriculoperitoneal shunt is herniation of the peritoneal catheter into the scrotum. Here we describe the migration of a fractured VP shunt catheter into the left scrotum.

**Case report**

A 14-year-old boy with congenital hydrocephalus on VP shunt, presented with a history of left scrotal swelling for three days associated with pain and fever. There was no previous history of epididymitis or orchitis. Examination of the scrotum revealed a 4x3 cm mass, separate from the testis, in the scrotum. Cough impulse and transillumination test was negative. Full blood count and urine test was normal. Ultrasound done showed a foreign body in the scrotum with mild hydrocele.

On further questioning, his mother admitted that his previous VP shunt catheter had fractured a year ago and was replaced with a new one. The shunt had then migrated into the abdominal cavity but since it was not causing any problem, his parents were not keen for any abdominal surgery. An abdominal pelvic x-ray was done and it confirmed the migration of the previously fractured VP shunt into the left scrotum (Fig. 1).

Removal of the catheter was attempted via an inguinal incision but failed. A scrotal incision had to be made to remove the catheter (Fig. 2). The hernia sac was then ligated and divided via the inguinal wound. Post operatively, he recovered well and was discharged the next day. Clinic follow-up at one, three and six months showed that there was no recurrence or complications.

**Fig. 1:** Abdominal x-ray showing the fractured ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt catheter coiled in the scrotum.
Discussion

VP shunt is a well established modality of treatment for hydrocephalus. However, the complication rate is relatively high. It has been reported that 24 % of infants and children with hydrocephalus treated by VP shunt procedures developed intra-abdominal complications. The occurrence of a previously unrecognized inguinal hernia was noted in 16.8 % patients. The mean interval from VP shunt to hernia occurrence was 6.8 months (2). Other recognized complications of VP shunt (24–47 %) are infection, perforation of the colon and bladder, intra-abdominal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cyst, intestinal obstruction due to volvulus and even death (7). There are also 2 reported cases of anal extrusion of distal VP shunt catheter after perforation of the large intestine (4, 7) and one case of a VP shunt catheter wrapped around a right mammary prosthesis forming a pseudocyst (1).

Fractured VP shunt may not need to be removed provided it is not causing any complication (6). However with a newly inserted VP shunt which is functioning well, the drainage of cerebrospinal fluid into the abdominal cavity will increase the intra-abdominal pressure. Coupled with the presence of a patent processus vaginalis, there is a risk of herniation of the previously fractured VP shunt into the scrotum because the increased intra-abdominal pressure maintains the patency of the processus vaginalis. The incidence of clinically presenting hernias is 16 %. If no intervention is done, there is a higher risk (20 %) of incarceration (2). Surgeons should keep this in mind if they decide to leave a fractured VP shunt in situ and not explore the abdomen. The patient and the family should be informed of the risks involved in leaving the catheter in the abdomen.

Conventionally, removal of a migrated VP shunt requires laparotomy. However, with the advent of laparoscopy, the need for laparotomy has diminished. Jackson et al. (3) has also reported his novel single-incision laparoscopic technique for removal of VP shunt tubing in the peritoneum.

Conclusion

Due to the high risk of incarceration, parents should be advised to have surgery done to remove the dislocated VP shunt in the scrotum. Surgeons too should be alert of the diagnosis of migrated VP shunt in a patient who presented with scrotal mass and has a history of VP shunt insertion.
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