Introduction

Several methods of carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) surgery have been described. The open approach is regarded as the gold standard, however there are also many critics of this technique. Due to the fact that results of open surgery are often quite disappointing – about 60% of patients have scar pain or so called pillar pain in the hypothenar and thenar eminences following surgery, we have therefore, turned our interests to less invasive techniques. In the past 12 years, we have accumulated vast experience in CTS surgery, which is a very frequent procedure in our department. Other than the classic open approach, we have obtained experience in the so called „twin incision technique“ (1) „flexor carpi radialis approach“ (20) and the uniportal (single portal) endoscopic approach (9). Endoscopy is our favoured technique (system Wolf). In most cases of mild or moderate EMG findings, using the endoscopic technique we were able to achieve superior patient satisfaction rates.

Intracarpal pressure (ICP) measurement has a long history. The accepted normal ICP range in healthy individuals is between 3–6 mmHg in the neutral wrist position and up to 20–60 mmHg during extension (21). The positive results of certain groups, especially studies done by Japanese authors have led us to further improve our results. In our study, a Codman sensor, typically used for the measurement of intracranial pressure was used to measure intracarpal pressure. The main reason for ICP measurement was to evaluate the relationship between peroperative intracarpal pressure, the level of decompression and pre/postoperative EMG findings. In addition, the effect of different hand positions and anatomical location on ICP was assesed.

Material and Methods

Surgery: All procedures were performed by two neurosurgeons from 2005–2006 under local anesthesia with 1% trimecain. The incision was made between the palmaris longus and flexor carpi ulnaris tendons – 1 cm in length. With the use of a dissector, subcutaneous tissue was dissected and then fibres of the antebrachial fascia were bluntly se-
parated in order to reach the subfascial space. The antebra-
chial fascia was then undermined, firstly proximally and then
distally through the carpal tunnel to the palmar space, so that
the pressure sensor could be inserted. Pressure readings
were recorded in the distal antebraechial space, proximal/
medial/distal carpal tunnel and the palmar space distal to
the carpal tunnel. Pressure measurements were also re-
corded in different hand positions (neutral, maximum pas-
sive flexion, maximum passive extension and passive hand
grip). This method of measurement was adopted from stu-
dies by Gelbermann (4), Okutsu (12, 13), Hashizume (6),
but using a different type of sensor. The undermined an-
tebraechial fascia was then transsected using scissors to re-
lease this part of the median nerve. The next step was the
insertion of the endoscopic cannula with 30 degree optics
into the carpal tunnel. The carpal ligament was then tran-
sected using the endoscopic blade. ICP after transsection was
recorded in the same areas and positions as described above.
ICP was measured in group I, group II was the control.
The EMG measurements were performed prior to and
3 months after surgery. The following parameters were eva-
luated: DML (distal motor latency), ACMAP (amplitude of
motor response), SCV (sensory nerve conduction velocity
to index finger), and ASNAP (amplitude of sensory nerve
action potential).

Patient characteristics: Thirty-one patients in group
I (ICP measured) and 33 patients in group II (control
group) were operated on for CTS. Two patients in group
I and 1 patient in group II did not have EMG follow-ups.
These patients were excluded from all statistical analyses.

Characteristics of Group I: 29 subjects (3 males) aged 27
to 78 with a median age of 57 years. Lower quartile 53 and
upper 59 years.

Characteristics of Group II: 32 subjects (5 males)
aged 24 to 83 with a median age of 55 years. Lower quartile
50 and upper 64 years.

The relationship between pressure recordings and EMG
findings was analysed using the Spearman rank order cor-
relation test. EMG findings of the two groups were then
compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test for independent
measurements. Due to the non-normal distribution of pres-
sure values we used the Wilcoxon paired test to compare

Fig. 1: Pressure values before (open squares) and after
trans-section of ligament (gray filled squares) for neutral
condition in the five measuring places. The values are re-
presented as the mean as the square and the upper and
lower quartiles as the whiskers.

Fig. 2: Pressure values before (open squares) and after
trans-section of ligament (grey filled squares) for flexion
condition in the five measuring places. The values are re-
presented as the mean as the square and the upper and
lower quartiles as the whiskers.

Fig. 3: Pressure values before (open squares) and after
trans-section of ligament (grey filled squares) for extension
condition in the five measuring places. The values are re-
presented as the mean as the square and the upper and
lower quartiles as the whiskers.

Fig. 4: Pressure values before (open squares) and after
trans-section of ligament (grey filled squares) for grip con-
dition in the five measuring places. The values are repre-
sented as the mean as the square and the upper and lower
quartiles as the whiskers.
values before and after surgery. The level of statistical significance was determined at 0.05 in all cases. For statistical evaluation we used Statistica (ver. 6.1) software.

**Results**

The results of the Wilcoxon paired test, used to assess pressure levels before and after surgery, showed a significant decrease in pressure after ligament transsection, in all areas and positions (see Figs. 1-4 and Tab. 1).

The results of the Spearman correlation test, did not prove a significant correlation between pressure measurements and EMG findings (3 of 320 evaluations - 4 EMG parameters and 20 pressure measurements before and after the surgery. The calculated correlations did not overcome the Spearman r = 0.5 and were not systematic in a pathophysiological sense.

The results of the Mann-Whitney U test of EMG findings, before and after surgery, showed a significant improvement in all parameters except ACMAP.

When EMG findings of groups I and II before and after surgery were compared, there was only an insignificant difference in the rate of improvement (Tabs. 2-5).

**Discussion**

There is no doubt about the high success rate of the classic midpalmar approach in CTS surgery. However, ac-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure before therapy [mmHg]</th>
<th>Pressure after therapy [mmHg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n median 25 % 75 % min max</td>
<td>median 25 % 75 % min max</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 1:** Pressure values before and after treatment in the group I. The statistical comparison based on Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test proved highly significant decrease of the pressure after the ligament transsection in the all recorded locations and hand positions - see the most right column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DML [ms]</th>
<th>before treatment</th>
<th>after treatment</th>
<th>difference</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>n = 29 pairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75 % percentile</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>n = 32 pairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-75 % percentile</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value** 2.9E-01 1.3E-01 6.5E-01

*Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test; **Mann-Whitney U test
Tab. 3: Amplitude-Compound Motor Action Potential parameters are listed in the same arrangement as in Table 1. The statistical comparisons did not exhibit between groups or treatment effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A-CMAP [mV]</th>
<th>before treatment</th>
<th>after treatment</th>
<th>difference</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 29 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>-13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 32 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value**</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1E-01</td>
<td>2.3E-01</td>
<td>9.4E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test; **Mann-Whitney U test

Tab. 4: Amplitude sensitive nerve action potential parameters before and after treatment in the group 1 consisting of patients with measured pressure in upper part of the table and the control group 2 in the bottom part. Nonparametric paired comparison within both groups, listed in the most right column, shows significant decrease of the A-SNAP after the treatment. Between groups comparison in the bottom row did not showed any significant differences neither before nor after the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A-SNAP [mV]</th>
<th>before treatment</th>
<th>after treatment</th>
<th>difference</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 29 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 32 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value**</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6E-01</td>
<td>7.9E-01</td>
<td>7.0E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test; **Mann-Whitney U test

Tab. 5: Sensory nerve conduction velocity parameters before and after treatment in the group 1 consisting of patients with measured pressure in upper part of the table and the control group 2 in the bottom part. Nonparametric paired comparison within both groups, listed in the most right column, shows significant decrease of the A-SNAP after the treatment. Between groups comparison in the bottom row did not showed any significant differences neither before nor after the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCV [m/s]</th>
<th>before treatment</th>
<th>after treatment</th>
<th>difference</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 29 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 32 pairs</td>
<td>median</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–75 % percentile</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>-40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min–max</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>-54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value**</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7E-01</td>
<td>2.2E-01</td>
<td>7.7E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test; **Mann-Whitney U test

According to literature it also has a high percentage of postoperative sequelae such as pillar and scar pain. In our department, 4 different techniques are used. The classic midpalmar incision, the twin incision technique, the flexor carpi radialis approach and the uniportal endoscopic technique. Based on 12 years of experience we prefer the endoscopic approach. Almost all of our patients with mild or moderate CTS were operated on using the endoscopic technique. We have also used the method with success in some patients presenting with very severe clinical and/or EMG findings. In cases of thenar atrophy we most often use the classic midpalmar approach. Patients who depend on walking aids can profit from minimally invasive techniques due to less frequent scar and pillar pain. In general we don’t prefer endoscopic approach in cases after distal forearm, wrist and hand fractures, in patients with different hand anatomical anomalies, in cases of re-operations and after hand burns.
According to certain authors (2, 16), endoscopic techniques have a higher incidence of recurrence and complications. Other literary sources do not suggest this (3, 8). A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (17) shows a reduction in scar tenderness and increase in grip and pinch strength at 12 week follow up in endoscopic group but also increases susceptibility to reversible nerve injury that is three times as likely to occur with endoscopic carpal tunnel release than with open carpal tunnel release. In our own experience based on a series of 500 cases, we reoperated in only one event for a motor branch lesion and patient’s satisfaction has been approximately 93–94%. Japanese authors (5, 12) have claimed to have a very high percentage of perfect results (99–100%) when endoscopy is combined with intracarpal pressure measurement.

The types of devices used for ICP measurement vary (4, 12, 15). In this study we used a Codman sensor, frequently used in our department for intracranial pressure measurement. This sensor was tolerated very well without any difficulties.

The area in which the highest values were measured, irrespective of hand position, was the proximal carpal tunnel (Figs. 1–4). Based on hand position, the highest values were recorded during maximal extension, except in the palm where the highest pressure values were recorded during passive grip (Fig. 5). These results are in accordance with other authors (4, 6, 7).

Median nerve conduction studies are necessary before performing CTS surgery. The most sensitive EMG parameter is decreased SCV, followed by prolonged DML and a decrease in A-SNAP. In mild and moderate CTS there is no change in A-CMAP, because there is no axonal lesion of median nerve motor fibres. The most common pathophysiological mechanism of CTS is the demyelination of nerve fibres due to median nerve entrapment. Recently, changes in nerve excitability associated with an activity dependent conduction block have been discovered (14, 19). These mechanisms could explain the immediate improvement of symptoms and relatively quick changes in conduction parameters following surgery (11). We should keep in mind that there are still some patients with clear symptoms of CTS who do not have gross abnormalities in common conduction studies (15, 21). These patients can also profit from CTS surgery (5).

We have obtained very useful functional data on pressure distribution, not only in the carpal tunnel, but also distally in the palm and proximally in the distal antebrachial subfascial space. The graphs show that in all positions the highest pressure was recorded in the proximal part of carpal tunnel. In some patients, we not only measured higher pressure levels in the carpal tunnel, but also in the palm, especially during hand grip. This information was not only important during surgery, but also in the postoperative period to avoid excessive overloading of the hand and in changing working habits (21). With the aid of pressure measurement we are able to determine the distal functional border of the carpal ligament, which is an important piece of information for the surgeon, because the ligament should be transected throughout its entire length (22). Incomplete transaction can be the cause CTS recurrence. After transection, ICP should drop significantly. If not, more superficial layers of the palm should be dissected (12, 15).

In our study this was done in 3 cases. This further dissection is likely to be the reason for better results in these patients.

**Conclusion**

Pressure measurement during CTS surgery provides new insight and provides the surgeon with valuable information such as the distal border of the carpal ligament, better control over ICP decompression and objectivization, enabling more repeatable results. These facts enabled us to complete decompression in 3 out of 31 patients, which without ICP measurement would probably not have been decompressed sufficiently. As far as postoperative EMG is concerned, improvement occurred in both groups, but a statistically significant difference between these two groups was not found i.e. ICP pressure measurement during CTS surgery did not have a significant effect on postoperative EMG improvement. Surgeons using endoscopy in CTS should keep in mind that conversion to the open approach is always an option (10) and before beginning with endo-

---

**Fig. 5:** Pressure values before (open squares) and after trans-section of ligament (grey filled squares) for palm position in 5 condition. The values are represented as the mean as the square and the upper and lower quartiles as the whiskers.

We did not document any relationship between the severity of EMG parameters changes and the level of intracarpal pressure. Some authors are of the same opinion (4, 6). It seems ICP pressure levels and EMG findings are independent of each other in patients with mild or moderate CTS. After CTS decompression there was a statistically significant improvement in all EMG parameters, except A-CMAP, in both groups. However, the EMG improvement in the measured group was no better than the control group. These results are similar to those described in literature (18).
scopic techniques, the surgeon should be familiar with the classic midpalmar approach.
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