The most common cause of sudden cardiac death (SCD) is coronary artery disease (19, 21) with ventricular tachyarrhythmias as the most frequent underlying mechanism (6). There is a high risk of cardiac arrest recurrence in SCD survivors when the cause of arrhythmia is not reversible (20, 32). The majority of resuscitated SCD cases with low risk of recurrence represent the patients that develop malignant arrhythmias in the acute phase of myocardial infarction. Therefore, the precise clinical evaluation for the reversible triggering factors of malignant ventricular arrhythmia, especially acute myocardial infarction (AMI), is important in patients surviving cardiac arrest.

In SCD survivors, the use of the standard diagnostic criteria of AMI (1) may be difficult, as the analysis of pre-arrest symptoms is often impossible and both serum cardiac biomarkers and electrocardiogram (ECG) can be influenced by previous cardiac arrest. A novel technique that may be used for the diagnosis of AMI is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We report its use in four patients after cardiopulmonary resuscitation where the diagnosis of AMI could not be definitely established or excluded by means of other diagnostic procedures.
mal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD). As this finding was inconclusive in regard to the diagnosis of AMI, the cardiac MRI was performed 8 days after the event. Myocardial oedema, accompanied by delayed contrast-enhancement of the subendocardial portion of the anterior wall, confirmed the diagnosis of AMI. When the coronary angiogram was reviewed in the context of the MRI finding, occlusion of the diagonal branch at the site of its separation from LAD was identified as a culprit lesion.

Based on these results, the ICD implantation was not indicated. No cardiac event occurred during the 30 months of follow up.

**Case 2**

A 68-year-old man underwent elective PCI of an 80 % ostial stenosis of the right coronary artery (RCA). His medical history was remarkable for non-Q myocardial infarction 2 months before, arterial hypertension, monoclonal gamapathy and chronic dorsalgia.

During stent primomplantation, the patient reported a brief period of chest pain that resolved spontaneously after intracoronary balloon deflation. After the procedure, there were no changes on the ECG tracing. Four hours later, the patient had cardiac arrest with no preceding symptoms. At the time of the event, the patient was on the general ward and his ECG was not monitored. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was initiated with a short delay. VF was successfully cardioverted with the first defibrillation shock given within 5 minutes after collapse. Because of persistent unconsciousness, the patient was intubated and artificially ventilated.

After CPR, the ECG tracing showed new 2 mm ST segment depressions in the anterior chest leads. Acutely performed coronary angiography showed a good patency of the RCA at the site of the implanted stent and no new findings in the coronary artery tree. The ST segment changes were not apparent on ECG tracing performed two hours later. cTnT was elevated (0.5 ng/ml) 12 hours after the event. No serum electrolyte abnormality explaining the ventricular fibrillation was identified.

One day after the event, the patient was extubated with no signs of ischemic brain injury. No arrhythmia occurred during ICU monitoring. Echocardiography performed two days later did not reveal any new findings. Left ventricular function was chronically mildly depressed with EF of 50 % and no regional wall motion abnormalities were found. Although the periprocedural AMI was highly suspected as a causative factor of VF, the available data were not sufficient to make this diagnosis definite. 3 days after the cardiac arrest, a MRI exam was performed. It confirmed the diagnosis of AMI by showing oedema and delayed contrast enhancement of a small portion of the right ventricle supplied by the proximal RCA (Fig. 1). PCI probably led to the occlusion of the small branches stemming from the proximal ACD at the site of the implanted stent. The risk of VF recurrence was thus considered low and the patient was discharged on medical therapy without ICD. The follow up after 8 months was uneventful.

**Case 3**

A 53-year-old man with negative medical history had suffered from witnessed cardiac arrest while watching TV. The patient reported no symptoms before the collapse. No basic resuscitation was performed before ambulance arrival. Advanced life support was initiated 6 minutes after the emergency service was called. Ventricular fibrillation was terminated by the second defibrillation shock after administration of 2 mg of adrenaline.

On admission at ICU, the patient was haemodynamically stable, sedated and artificially ventilated. ECG showed mild ST segment elevation (<0.1mV) in the inferior leads and the tracing did not change in the following hours and days. Acutely performed echocardiography was with normal finding. EF of the left ventricle was 60 % and no wall motion abnormalities were identified. Except for mild cTnT elevation (0.8 ng/ml), detected 12 hours after admission, blood laboratory analysis revealed no other abnormality.

Mild therapeutic hypothermia was implemented for 24 hours. There were no signs of post hypoxic brain injury when the patient was extubated 2 days after the event.

Coronary angiography revealed three vessel disease but no occlusion or critical narrowing was identified. As the above-mentioned exams did not definitely confirm or exclude the AMI, cardiac MRI was performed 5 days after cardiac arrest. Delayed contrast-enhanced scans revealed a pathological circular subendocardial scar reflecting irreversible myocardial injury. T2-weighted images showed no signs of tissue oedema, typical for an acute myocardial lesion. As AMI was not proved by this method and there was no evidence of myocardial ischemia preceding the cardiac arrest, the ICD implantation was indicated. The device was
Implanted after surgical myocardial revascularization. During the 27 months of follow up, malignant ventricular arrhythmia requiring the ICD therapy did not occur.

Case 4

A 44-year-old woman collapsed shortly after aerobics training. Her medical history was unremarkable. The collapse was precipitated by the symptoms of weakness and malaise. Basic CPR was started 7 minutes after the collapse and the advanced life support was given 5 minutes thereafter. Ventricular fibrillation was terminated by the first defibrillation shock without adrenaline administration with subsequent ROSC. The patient was intubated, artificially ventilated and transferred to the hospital. On admission to ICU, the patient was haemodynamically stable, not requiring circulatory support. On admission, the ECG tracing was normal. A day after the event, the ECG tracing showed terminal negativity of T waves in the anterior chest leads. Hypokalemia (2.7 mmol/l) present on admission resolved with uneventful potassium supplementation and did not recur. Its origin remained unclear. There was no evidence of previous diarrhoea or vomiting. Catecholamines were not given during CPR and blood gas analysis revealed normal pH of 7.35 on admission. Serum cTnT increased to 0.7 ng/ml after 12 hours. Echocardiography showed hypokinesia of the cardiac apex and the adjacent septum. The left ventricular EF was 70 %.

The patient was extubated 2 days after the event with minor post-hypoxic brain dysfunction. Coronary angiogram, performed 9 days after the event, identified significant stenosis in the periphery of LAD. The rest of the coronary artery tree was normal. As the acuteness of the myocardial lesion was not confirmed by the above mentioned methods and the hypokalemia might have triggered the malignant arrhythmia, cardiac MRI was performed 10 days after the event. It confirmed AMI by showing tissue oedema and subendocardial contrast enhancement in the apex and the apical portion of the interventricular septum. The patient was asymptomatic during the 2 months of follow up.

Discussion

Clinical diagnosis of AMI is based upon the dynamic changes of serum biomarkers of myocardial necrosis in the context of anginal symptoms, ischemic ECG changes, or coronaryographic finding (1). However, there are several pitfalls when these criteria are applied in SCD survivors.

The elevation of serum cardiac biomarkers in patients after CPR may be related not only to AMI but also to the duration of chest compressions, cumulative dose of epinephrine and shock state. (9, 16–18) Mild increase of cTnT may also result from the sustained ventricular tachycardia (31) preceding cardiac arrest. The serum concentration of cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and MB fraction of creatine kinase lack sufficient specificity for the AMI diagnosis after resuscitated cardiac arrest even when higher than normal cutoff values are used. For a cTnT cutoff value of 0.6 ng/ml sensitivity and specificity were 96 % and 80 % when AMI was diagnosed by ECG, thallium-201 single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and/or autopsy (16).

The symptoms of angina preceding the cardiac arrest are suggestive of myocardial ischemia but, except for acute coronary syndrome, they may also reflect the ischemia induced by the ventricular tachycardia that preceded cardiac arrest.

Shortly after CPR, the ECG abnormalities may result from previous catecholamine administration, CPR related heart injury and metabolic disturbances related to recent cardiac arrest. ECG interpretation with regard to ongoing ischemia might be especially difficult when there are no significant ST segment deviations or developing changes in the QRS pattern, as it was in all patients described in our report.

Acute performed echocardiography lacks sufficient specificity and sensitivity to confirm or exclude AMI (10). Small subendocardial lesions are not identifiable by this method. Among our cases, three of four subjects had no regional wall motion abnormality at echocardiography that was performed shortly after cardiac arrest. Regional myocardial dysfunction with preserved wall thickness can be not only a manifestation of AMI, but also an equivalent of myocardial ischemia or old myocardial infarction.

Coronary angiography may be inconclusive for the AMI diagnosis in some cases, especially when the procedure is not performed acutely. Although thrombotic occlusion or critical narrowing of the epicardial coronary artery is a typical feature of AMI, spontaneous or drug facilitated reperfusion can occur. Occlusions of small branches may be difficult to be identified by angiography.

Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy does not allow the differentiation between acute and chronic myocardial infarction, but the acutely necrotic myocardium can be diagnosed using Tc-99m pyrophosphate or indium-111 labeled antimyosin antibody (13, 23). The use of this technique was described in 57 SCD survivors with no ECG signs of AMI (12).

A novel technique that can be used in diagnosing AMI is cardiac MRI. Compared to radionuclide imaging, MRI provides significantly better spatial resolution with pixel size of approximately 2 mm². The paramagnetic contrast agent increasingly accumulates in the areas of both acute myocardial necrosis and fibrotic scar tissue. In an experimental model, the accumulation of paramagnetic contrast agent in the myocardium closely corresponds to both the acute and the chronic myocardial infarction verified by post mortem histological exam (7, 22). Contrast-enhanced MRI (CE-MRI) thus provides visualization of irreversible myocardial injury (11, 25).

In comparison to a chronic lesion, the AMI is characterized by oedema that develops because of acute tissue necrosis and subsequent inflammatory infiltration. Increased
water content in oedematous myocardial tissue can be visualized by T2-weighted MR images (29, 30).

The combination of contrast-enhanced and T2 weighted MRI enables an accurate differentiation between acute and chronic myocardial infarction. Two studies recently showed high diagnostic accuracy of this method (3, 26). Takahashi et al. (27) described the superiority of T2-weighted MRI in comparison to radionuclide imaging for the diagnosis of AMI.

The optimal timing of MRI exam in AMI is unclear. The progression of tissue oedema was observed until day 5 after AMI (30) and the oedema was detectable 12 days after the AMI in another report (27). The oedema was not apparent 21 days after AMI (30).

In our study, we used the cardiac MRI imaging protocols described in the literature (3). MRI examinations comprised T2-weighted fast spin echo sequence with fat saturation pulse in order to visualize myocardial oedema and late enhancement inversion recovery prepared gradient echo sequence was used for the assessment of irreversible myocardial injury.

The MRI must be performed before tissue oedema resolves. However, the main limitations of performing cardiac MRI in SCD survivors are post-hypoxic brain injury, respiratory problems and arrhythmias. For cardiac MRI examination, the patient has to be cooperating well and cardiopulmonary compensated. The exam is performed in the supine position using breath hold technique. Breathholds of 7–12 seconds are usually sufficient for adequate image quality on current MR systems.

Although higher long-term risk in AMI complicated by ventricular arrhythmias (4) was reported, observations of both post-MI patients and survivors of cardiac arrest that occurred during the acute phase of transmural MI suggest that life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias occurring during the first 24–48 hours of MI do not imply continuing risk over time (15, 29). Current guidelines for the management of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death do not recommend the implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) in arrhythmias related to acute coronary ischemia (2). On the other hand, ICD therapy proved to be beneficial in the secondary prevention of sudden cardiac death when AMI was excluded as a triggering mechanism of ventricular arrhythmia (14, 28).

These facts underscore the importance of precise evaluation of SCD survivors for the presence of AMI. The incorrect AMI diagnosis may cause an undesirable withdrawal from ICD implantation in patients who are at high risk of sudden death recurrence. On the contrary, unrecognized AMI can inadequately increase the costs of treatment and put the patients at risks (5) and limitations (8, 24) related to the ICD implantation.

Conclusion

Cardiac MRI might be used as an alternative diagnostic tool in SCD survivors in whom the diagnosis of AMI cannot be definitely confirmed or excluded by means of other diagnostic procedures.
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